

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/067,451	Applicant(s) MILLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(2) Elizabeth Pietrowski.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2007

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Pietrowski informed the examiner that a response to the non-final action dated 6-16-06 has not been filed in favor of a continuation application and that the instant application has been abandoned. .

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)